

PROMOTION AND TENURE GUIDELINES DEPARTMENT OF TEXTILE AND APPAREL MANAGEMENT

The Department of Textile and Apparel Management (TAM) at the University of Missouri-Columbia has established the following guidelines for determining professional rank and awarding tenure and promotion to members of the department. The policies and procedures for this department are consistent with the guidelines established by the College and the University. Unique departmental differences and individual achievements are considered in the following guidelines. It is very important for faculty members to understand and follow the criteria outlined in this document from the time of employment in order to collect appropriate data to document relevant achievements. Regardless of the percentages for teaching, research and service stated in the letter of appointment, it is critical that new faculty begin a research agenda immediately and that they show evidence of scholarship through publications in refereed journals by the end of the first three years of employment.

The departmental guidelines include the following sections: schedule for reviews, procedure, criteria for academic ranks, and expectation for evidence of faculty achievement in the areas of scholarship, teaching, and service.

Schedule for Reviews

Faculty entering a tenure track position at the assistant professor level, and who remain on tenure track for the full probationary period, must be prepared to undergo at least two critical reviews during the initial probationary period, one during the third year of employment, and one at the end of the fifth year of employment. All tenured faculty in the TAM Department will evaluate the performance of the faculty member during the third year review. After independent reviews of the candidate's materials by tenured faculty in the TAM Department, the Department Chair, and the HES Promotion and Tenure Committee, the Department Chair will then meet with the candidate to discuss his/her strengths and areas needing improvement, and make a written recommendation regarding continuation of employment. The second review will be initiated at the end of the fifth year of employment. The outcome of this review will determine whether the faculty member will be awarded continuous appointment at the University of Missouri, and promoted to the academic rank of associate professor. The procedure followed for these two reviews is presented in the following section. The time lines on the following pages are meant to serve as a guide, but may be subject to revision by the College, University, or by special circumstances. The following procedure is used for initiating and undergoing the review process at the end of the five-year probationary period:

Once a faculty member has achieved a continuous appointment by becoming tenured, and promoted to the academic rank of associate professor, performance evaluation will continue in the form of an annual review with the Department Chair. Each faculty member is expected to continue to achieve high levels of professional recognition for scholarship. The individual faculty member, in consultation with the Department Chair, will determine when to undergo the review process necessary to be promoted to the academic rank of professor. The procedure will be the same as for promotion to associate professor; however, the expectations regarding scholarship are much greater and are discussed in a later section of this document.

Procedure

All faculty are reviewed annually. Faculty members on a tenure track should understand that they can be dismissed at any time up until the time they are awarded tenure.

Pretenure Third-Year Review

The faculty member who is undergoing the third year review will submit the necessary documentation outlined in the HES Third Year Review Guidelines, including an updated Curriculum Vita, evidence of research/creative scholarship, documentation of teaching activities and accomplishments, and service. The procedure for the third-year review will be as follows:

1. The candidate will compile appropriate materials in electronic and written form, and made available to all tenured faculty in the TAM Department no later than February 1 of the third full year of service.
2. Tenured faculty will review the candidate's materials and evaluate the candidate's performance in all three areas: research/creative scholarship, teaching and service.
3. Tenured faculty members will meet with the candidate after reviewing materials to discuss the strengths and areas needing improvement, and to clarify any questions from the candidate.
4. TAM tenured faculty will write the review letter to the faculty member and send a copy to the Chair to include the strengths, areas needing improvement, and a recommendation regarding continuation of employment.
5. Meanwhile, the Department Chair will conduct an independent review of the candidate's materials and assess his/her performance.
6. After both the tenured faculty and the Department Chair have completed independent review of materials, the Department Chair will meet with tenured faculty to discuss the candidate's performance.
7. The candidate's materials will then be sent to the HES Promotion and Tenure committee for their review. After reviewing the candidate's materials, the HES Promotion and Tenure committee will write a letter to the Department Chair stating the outcome of their evaluation.
8. Following the independent reviews at all three levels, the Department Chair will make a final assessment of the candidate's performance, and will make a decision about whether the candidate has made satisfactory progress toward tenure expectations. The Department Chair will write a letter to the candidate stating the outcome of the review process and recommending either that the candidate continue in the tenure-track position or be terminated at this time. This letter will be part of the faculty member's dossier for tenure.
9. Materials from the candidate's review will be forwarded to the Dean.
10. The candidate shall receive department committee and the chair's letters after review has been completed and may request an oral discussion with any of the evaluating parties.

Mandatory Fifth-Year Review for Promotion and Tenure

Review and recommendations for tenure and promotion to rank of associate professor will be initiated at the departmental level. The University publishes guidelines for preparation of the dossier each year.

1. During the Spring Semester of the fifth year of service to the University, the faculty member who is undergoing the review process will make an appointment with the Department Chair to discuss the University guidelines for preparing the dossier. At this time both the faculty member and the department chair will separately develop a list of possible outside reviewers of the dossier (preferably ranked at the professor level, but at least ranked at the associate professor level).

2. The faculty member will prepare the dossier in May and June according to University guidelines. The dossier will contain documentation of the faculty member's performance in each of three areas: research/creative scholarship, teaching, and service. A date for the dossier to be completed will be agreed upon by the candidate and the department chair, but no later than June 30th.
3. The completed dossier and selected research articles will be sent by the Department Chair to outside reviewers no later than mid-July requesting an evaluation from them by the end of August. Outside reviewers will include equal representation of people suggested by the faculty member and those suggested by the Department Chair.
4. Early in the Fall Semester the Department Chair will assemble materials for the faculty review process including the dossier with letters from outside reviewers. These will be in both electronic form and hard copy as specified in the university guidelines, and will be handled confidentially.
5. Tenured faculty in the Department will review materials as soon as they are made available. After having sufficient time to review the materials, tenured faculty will meet to discuss and vote on whether the faculty member be tenured and promoted to associate professor. The vote will be recorded in the department letter, and this letter will be included in the dossier.
6. The faculty member seeking tenure and promotion will be notified of the departmental recommendations immediately and in writing. If the decision is not positive, the faculty member will have a right to an appeal at the departmental level.
7. The Department Chair will carefully review the dossier and supporting materials, and make a written recommendation to the college committee by the deadline set by that committee, typically in early November. The letter will become part of the dossier.
8. The candidate shall receive copies of the letters from the department committee and the chair, after reviews have been completed, and may request an oral discussion with any of the evaluating parties.
9. The College Promotion and Tenure committee will evaluate the dossier including the recommendations made in letters from the faculty in the TAM Department and the Department Chair. The College committee will vote on whether the candidate should be tenured and promoted. A written report of the results of the College Promotion and Tenure committee evaluation, will be included in the dossier, and sent to the Dean. If the decision is not positive, the candidate will have a right to an appeal at the college level.
10. The Dean of the College will review all of the materials in the dossier and make a recommendation to the Campus Promotion and Tenure committee by the committee's deadline.
11. The Campus Promotion and Tenure committee will review the dossier during the first half of the Spring semester. Members of the committee will vote on whether to recommend to the Provost that the candidate be tenured and promoted. If the vote is negative, the candidate will be informed of the decision and be given an opportunity to have a hearing before this committee. After a hearing is held, the committee will vote again.
12. The candidate as well as the Dean of the College and Department Chair will be informed of the decision. A written recommendation from the Campus Promotion and Tenure committee will be sent to the Provost during the Spring semester along with the candidate's dossier.
13. The Provost will evaluate the candidate's dossier and make a recommendation to the Chancellor as to whether the candidate should be tenured and promoted. The candidate will receive a letter from the Chancellor during the summer informing the faculty member that he/she has or has not been awarded tenure and promoted to associate professor. If tenure is granted, the faculty member will be on a continuous appointment at the University of Missouri-Columbia. If tenure is denied, the faculty member will be

allowed to stay one year. The faculty member who is denied tenure may appeal the decision following guidelines in the Faculty Handbook of the University of Missouri-Columbia.

Criteria for Academic Ranks

As a basis for appointment and promotion to academic rank in the Department of Textile and Apparel Management, the academic preparation and achievements essential to the responsibilities and expectancies associated with each rank are designated.

A. Instructor

This rank is recommended for individuals who do not hold a terminal degree and who do not have other traditional scholarly or professional attainments. Except for special circumstances, this is generally a non-tenure track position, requiring the following:

1. Minimum of a master's degree from a specialized academic program.
2. Evidence of professional promise.

B. Assistant Professor

Assistant professor is the usual entry-level rank for a candidate who has completed the appropriate terminal degree (usually a doctorate) or possesses equivalent scholarly or professional attainments.

Candidates for assistant professor must show satisfactory competence or high promise as teachers and researchers appropriate to their level of experience.

C. Associate Professor

The awarding of tenure and promotion to the rank of associate professor must be based on convincing evidence that the faculty member has achieved an outstanding record as a teacher, as a scholar, and one who provides effective service, and can be expected to continue a program of high quality teaching, research/creative scholarship, and service relevant to the mission of the academic unit. When evaluating a candidate, the focus of the evaluation is the candidate's primary areas of responsibility. A mediocre performance in an area of primary responsibility cannot be offset by excellent performance in a secondary area of responsibility.

1. Candidates must demonstrate the quality, and effectiveness of their teaching. This must reflect growth and development over the probationary period and suggest a high likelihood that professional development with respect to teaching will continue. Examples of evidence of this are found in the Teaching section of this document
2. Candidates for promotion to associate professor with tenure must demonstrate a strong record in a program of sustained scholarship which contributes to a body of knowledge embraced in the department's mission. It is important that the candidate clearly demonstrates excellence in scholarship, national visibility, and the ability to direct graduate student research/creative scholarship. Examples of this scholarship are given in the Research section of this document.
3. Individuals must be recognized by peers at other institutions for contribution to the field and profession. This shall be determined through a formal review by faculty at peer institutions.
4. Candidates are held to a high standard of departmental citizenship. Departmental citizenship is defined as assuming individual and departmental responsibility, reliable, and carrying on responsibilities that might extend beyond the nine-month period of the appointment. Poor departmental citizenship at best imposes additional service burdens on other faculty and at worst

may obstruct a department's ability to function and may damage its reputation. Poor departmental citizenship is basis for a negative recommendation.

5. Candidates are expected to provide convincing evidence of a sustained record of effective participation in professional, university, and community service. Effective participation is expected; however, service contributions may not be substituted for excellence in teaching and research. Examples of appropriate service contributions are given in the Service section of this document.

D. Professor

University of Missouri guidelines state:

1. A person recommended for promotion to the rank of professor should have significant accomplishments, especially in the area of research and scholarly activity, beyond those justifying the rank of associate professor. Years of service alone do not justify advancement. Rather, sustained contributions during a career to research/creative scholarship, and teaching are necessary. A candidate must demonstrate a record of sustained research/creative scholarship excellence that leads to a national or international reputation (from: UM Executive Order 6A, Policy and Procedures for Promotion and Tenure, Sept. 1992).
2. The criteria applicable to appointment at the rank of professor are those already indicated as applicable to the rank of associate professor. Expectations for "effective" performance to merit promotion are considerably higher than for lower ranks. For appointment at this rank, candidates should have an established record of accomplishment, national visibility, and demonstrated the ability to direct research of graduate students.
3. Candidates must provide convincing evidence of a sustained record of excellence in areas central to their responsibilities. A mediocre performance in an area of primary responsibility is not counterbalanced by excellent performance in a secondary area of responsibility. Candidates for promotion to professor are to be role models for less senior faculty, for students, and for the profession.
4. Candidates must provide evidence of a sustained record of excellence in teaching. Evidence shall include student evaluations and peer evaluations. The teaching portfolio may be submitted for consideration but carries much less weight than the previous two forms of evaluation.
5. Candidates are expected to provide convincing evidence of a sustained record of excellence in scholarship. A sustained record of scholarship excellence is reflected through a significant body of scholarship which is recognized nationally.
6. Candidates are expected to provide convincing evidence of a sustained record of effective participation and leadership in professional, university, and community service.
7. Candidates are held to a high standard of sustained departmental citizenship. Poor departmental citizenship at best imposes additional service burdens on other faculty and at worst may obstruct a department's ability to function and may damage its reputation. Poor departmental citizenship is basis for a negative recommendation.

Research/Creative Scholarship Activities

The candidate should have demonstrated the ability to conduct research or creative activities that reflects

(1) original scholarship, (2) a contribution to the knowledge base, and (3) the likelihood of continued quality performance. This ability and future promise may be demonstrated by accomplishments in one or more of the following categories:

- Conduct research with appropriate methods and rigor.
- Conceptualize and theorize in an original way.
- Synthesize, criticize, and clarify extant knowledge and research.
- Innovate in the collection or analysis of empirical data.
- Relate research to the solution of practical problems of individuals, groups, organizations, or societies.
- Create design that appears in a juried show.
- Develop an exhibit that is reviewed.

Refereed activities will be given greater weight than non-refereed activities.

Evidence of cohesive body of scholarly activity, and of a candidate's standing in a discipline may include the following.

A: Principle scholarly activities

- Articles published, or accepted for publication, in peer-reviewed scholarly journal
- Scholarly books
- Juried shows or exhibits
- Curated public exhibitions
- Grant/project proposals (funded)

B: Supportive scholarly activities

- Articles published in the proceedings of a conference or meeting
- Scholarly monographs, chapters, bulletins, etc
- Textbooks
- Abstracts, summaries, or brief reports published in a journal, professional newsletter, conference proceeding, or other similar format
- Scholarly presentations to a regional or national professional organization for which the papers or abstracts are refereed
- Grant/project proposals (non-funded)
- Work that leads to the development of public policies
- Funding for training, or professional development
- Testimony before governmental committees
- Consulting
- Professional honors and awards for research and creative scholarship
- Serving as an editor of a journal
- Serving on an editorial or review board
- Invited presentations

This listing identifies many of the important and typical activities that constitute scholarly activity. All of these activities shall be reviewed based on peer-review, prestige, and impact of journal or venue. No particular order of importance is implied by the above listing within the class, and other professional activities, not listed, may also provide supporting evidence of scholarly activity.

National recognition can be demonstrated through publications in nationally recognized journals, design exhibits at national venues, presentations at national conferences, involvement at the national level in professional organizations, or similar activities, and given further support through the letters from the outside reviewers.

Teaching

The TAM department highly values effective classroom teaching for undergraduate and graduate students. Thus, a candidate should have demonstrated effective teaching abilities and should provide evidence of one's personal commitment to teaching, success in the communication of material, and stimulation of students' interest. Evidence of continual improvement should also be furnished. One's influence on the curricula and teaching strategies of other colleagues and programs, both within the department, on campus, nationally, and internationally, is also valued.

There is a wide range of legitimate approaches to teaching that are the result of the kind of material that is taught, the level of the student, the personality of the teacher, and the environment in which the teaching occurs. Distinctions among types of teaching situations may include lectures, discussions, laboratories, seminars, institutes, workshops, in-service training, teaching by correspondence and online instruction, one-on-one tutorials, student advising, and consulting. In documenting teaching proficiency, the candidate should indicate how the teaching approach was appropriate to the learner constituency's needs and abilities. Of course, no teacher can be expected to be equally masterful in all teaching situations.

Evidence of Teaching Mastery

Evidence must be presented that the candidate has engaged in a teaching program of substantial quality and impact. Because each type of evidence provides an incomplete picture, a balanced judgment of teaching ability and competence must rely on several kinds of evidence. Evidences described below under A, B, C, and D must be provided in the dossiers of resident instructional faculty. Both teaching and extension faculty are encouraged to consider parts E-J and provide documentation as available and appropriate. Extension faculty must document teaching mastery based on the guidelines in Part K.

A. Statement of Teaching Philosophy

Candidates should provide a statement describing his/her personal teaching philosophy, strategies, objectives, long term teaching goals, and expectations for continued improvement.

B. Statement of Departmental Congruence

The candidate should provide a statement describing his/her contribution to the teaching mission of the department and general contribution to curricular policy and innovation.

C. History and Student Evaluations

The candidate should provide a chronology of the candidate's teaching history at the University of Missouri since the previous review, or of teaching at other institutions where appropriate. All courses taught, by semester, should be listed and accompanied by enrollment numbers, quantitative evaluation summaries, and all comments in courses with enrollments of less than 25. For classes over 25, a balanced selection of comments are selected by the department chair. Course GPAs should also be provided when available. Other teaching situations could be included when appropriate.

D. Colleague Evaluations

Evaluations by colleagues are a dedicated form of teaching evaluation in the TAM department. It should be based on direct observation of teaching or extension program presentations and the examination of teaching or program materials, as described in the Department Peer Teaching Evaluation Guidelines.

E. Graduate Student Teaching, Tutoring, Advising

Recognizing that graduate student teaching is intense and time consuming, data regarding the numbers of graduate students taught in non-class room situations should be provided including: relationship to the student (advisor, committee member), level of student, and degree of involvement with the student.

F. New Course Development

The candidate should indicate any new courses developed or major sections of a course completely revised. For each, a rationale for the development and explanation of the contribution it makes to the overall curriculum should be included. All materials for the new course or section should also be provided for review.

G. Teaching Materials

The candidate is encouraged to provide documentation of attempts at new or improved teaching methods and materials and his/her evaluation of their effectiveness. Course outlines, syllabi, and other relevant teaching materials should be provided as an appendix to the dossier, if allowed by the University promotion and tenure guidelines.

H. Individual Teaching/Supervision

Documentation of student advising, consultation, and research or laboratory supervision may be provided as appropriate.

I. Honors/Awards

Evidence of recognition related to the candidates teaching may be provided, such as teaching-related publications, honors and awards.

J. Other Indicators

Indicators of leadership in curriculum development, publications related to teaching, grants awarded for teaching enhancement, or service to a committee on teaching should be documented. Where appropriate, other kinds of evidences may be included, such as assessments by workshop participants, clients, trainees, teaching assistants, or others. Such evidence should summarize systematically, rather than simply quoting a few laudatory comments.

K. Extension Teaching Faculty

Extension faculty may indicate evidence of outstanding teaching by providing descriptions of up to five significant extension programs for which the candidate had primary responsibility. Such descriptions should include (a) the identification of the program goals, clientele, and the needs-assessment procedure; (b) outline of program objectives; (c) details on the method of instruction and delivery, innovative teaching methods, materials, aids or approaches, and client evaluation of the program's effectiveness; and (d) description of the significance of the program and its relevance to the issues in the state and nation, and its potential or demonstrated impact on public policy and citizen welfare.

Service

For teaching and extension faculty, service activities fall into three general categories: university, professional, and public. However, while public service in the sense of good citizenship is encouraged for all faculty, it is not legitimate for P&T purposes. In instances in which a candidate is uncertain of how a service activity will be valued for promotion/tenure purposes, or when a service commitment is inordinately time demanding and consuming, the faculty member should ask the department chair for clarification, in writing, prior to undertaking or continuing, that service activity.

University: The effective operation of the university requires a high degree of faculty participation and, at times, intensive activity in faculty government, departmental, college, and university committees, administrative roles, advisory functions, and similar tasks. All faculty must share in this task, but that a heavier burden may and should fall on the shoulders of more senior (and already tenured) faculty members.

Professional: Service to one's profession or academic discipline may occur at local, state, national or international levels. Appropriate activities include service as an officer, member of a board, committee, or task force of a professional group, on-site visits, reviewing research proposals or manuscripts, and organizing and

participating in professional and technical meetings such as training institutes, workshops, conferences, and continuing professional education.

Public Service for Teaching Faculty: Public service for teaching faculty is valued when it enhances the department's perception and value in the public arena and when the faculty member is engaged in it because of his/her university/professional affiliation. These may include such things as testifying at public hearings, consulting with public bodies, and the like.

Public Service for Extension Faculty: Public service for extension faculty is a critical part of their professional responsibilities. The documentation in such cases must clearly demonstrate either how the candidate is meeting the extension and outreach program needs of the public through the teaching, coordination and evaluation of outreach programs or how the candidate's work may have aided in shaping public policy. Evidence should be presented showing that a candidate with extension responsibilities has been able to identify program needs utilizing stakeholder input, design programs to address those needs, use a variety of information sources in program development, procure necessary resources, skillfully deliver programs including appropriate partners and evaluate those programs and their demonstrated impact. For extension faculty, the detailed documentation of service activities is critical in P&T evaluations.

Documentation of service

Teaching Faculty: Documentation of service for teaching faculty should include the specific responsibilities of the faculty member, the nature of the service provided, some indication of the faculty member's time involved, a brief summary of contribution to the department, and letters of support or commendation.

Extension Faculty: In addition to the documentation of university and professional service indicated for teaching faculty, extension faculty should also provide detailed description of outreach program planning and development, and public appearances/presentation as a part of one's extension responsibilities.

Evidence of public service may include:

- Consultations to the community and significant advisory work with government, business, or industry.
- Outreach program planning and development.
- Membership on committees and boards.
- Public lectures and presentations.
- Participation in radio and television programs.
- Service in official positions of public organization or agencies related to the mission of the department.
- Publications and other resources for general audiences.

Evidence of university service may include:

- A. Committee assignments in the department, college, or university.
- B. Participation in statewide outreach programs.
- C. Special administrative assignments in a department, college, or university.

Evidence of professional service may include:

- A. Membership on state, regional, national committees or councils, or review panels.
- B. Membership on editorial boards of professional journals or other reviewing or editing activities.
- C. Officer or board member of state, national or international scientific, professional, and educational organizations.
- D. Leadership in the development of continuing professional education for personnel in the field.

Post Tenure Review General Standards

Faculty of the Department of Textile and Apparel Management adopted the following minimum standards for overall satisfactory performance. Performance will be evaluated following the departmental Workload Document policy. These general standards coordinate with criteria used for annual reviews and raises.

- Faculty productivity is expected in all three areas of research/creative scholarship; teaching; and service.
- In each area, performance should demonstrate quantity, quality, and relevance.
- The faculty member's record should demonstrate specific and identifiable areas of expertise (i.e., special competence) related to textile and apparel management. The development of this area of expertise implies movement toward and the achievement of national stature.
- Faculty performance that demonstrates integration and relatedness of research/creative scholarship, teaching, and service is particularly valued.

Approved at the Department of Textile and Apparel Management Faculty Meeting May 1, 2017.