

GUIDELINES FOR APPOINTMENT AND PROMOTION OF RANKED NON-TENURE TRACK FACULTY POSITIONS

DEPARTMENT OF TEXTILE AND APPAREL MANAGEMENT

University of Missouri-Columbia

October 21, 2019

Revised April 26, 2021

Introduction

In keeping with the University System's Collective Rules and Regulations, this document applies to Non-Tenure track academic faculty (of at least .75 FTE), regardless of funding source who seek Non-Tenure Track appointments at the rank of Assistant

Professor, Associate Professor, or Professor, and the promotion of individuals from Assistant Professor level to Associate Professor, or from an Associate Professor level to Professor. Specifically, this document addresses the appointment and promotion of individuals in Non-Tenure Track, ranked academic positions in the Department of Textile and Apparel Management at the University of Missouri.

These positions typically entail emphasis in one of three areas: Teaching, Research or Extension. Specifically, this document applies to individuals whose titles include an opening designation of the position focus (i.e., Teaching, Research, or Extension) and then one of three academic faculty levels (Assistant Teaching, Research, or Extension Professor; Associate Teaching, Research, or Extension Professor; and Teaching, Research, and Extension Professor). See University of Missouri regulations for Non-Tenure track faculty (Chapter 310.035 Non-Tenure Track Faculty). Also see A&S Guidelines for Hire and Promotion of Non-Tenure Track Academic Positions. As described, this document is applicable to NTT appointments and not to tenured and tenure-track faculty or to unranked appointments (e.g., instructor).

The initial appointment to an academic appointment is very important to both the candidate and the Department of Textile and Apparel Management. Specific job responsibilities and appropriate expectations should be explicitly stated in a written job description developed by the candidate's direct supervisor in conjunction with appropriate faculty input. The non-tenure track appointee is a member of a larger faculty addressing its stated mission. Candidates for these positions should be familiar with the mission of the Department, its relationship to the land-grant history of the University of Missouri, and the guidelines and criteria associated with Non Tenure Track academic appointments outlined in this document. These guidelines and criteria are critical both to the initial appointment and to promotion applications. Should the applicant be awarded a promotion that title is tied to the position rather than the person and thus, the promoted individual is not guaranteed the same title should he or she move to a new position.

A majority votes (2/3) from all full-time ranked faculty (T/TT and NTT) will be required for any changes or update on the Guidelines for Appointment and Promotion for NTT faculty.

Hiring and Reappointment of NTT Faculty

1) Except in case of an emergency hire required to staff existing courses, search for NTT faculty members will be conducted on a national basis, with a search committee appointed by the Department Chair. Where possible, at least one member of the search committee will be an NTT faculty member within the Department. Departments that do not have any NTT faculty are encouraged to consider inviting NTT faculty within the college or within related disciplines on campus to be a part of the search committee. NTT faculty should be selected using a process comparable with that used for hire of tenure track faculty with interviews/presentations to Department faculty, staff, students and a full review of relevant materials (e.g. teaching evaluations, research publications, etc.). The search

committee will make a recommendation to the Department Chair, who will make the final hiring decision, with the appropriate approval of the dean and campus.

2) NTT faculty appointments shall begin at a specified date and terminate at a specified date. Such appointments are usually for a period of one academic year, but may be for a single semester, depending on Department needs. See academic appointments and re-appointments of NTT faculty from CRR, specifically, https://www.umsystem.edu/ums/rules/collected_rules/faculty/ch310/310.035_non-tenure_track_faculty.

Part I:

Criteria for Academic Ranks

All Non-Tenure Track Assistant Professor Appointments include:

- Professional competence and creative abilities
- Evidence to make significant contributions to the profession.
- Evidence to work collaboratively with professional colleagues and students.
- Self-motivation and potential for recognition as a leader in the profession.
- Evidence to make significant contributions to the Department of Textile and Apparel Management, the University of Missouri and the community.
- Evidence of the candidate's abilities to be demonstrated in resume, dossier, reference letters and or interviews.
- **Potential for making** contributions to the inclusivity, diversity and equity goals of the Department of Textile and Apparel Management, the University of Missouri and the community.
- Good citizenship and a positive contribution to the Department mission. Departmental citizenship is defined as assuming individual and Departmental responsibility for the greater good of the department.

Main Attributes:

Assistant Teaching Professor

- A potential for growth and excellence in teaching based on the candidate's professional credentials, resume, dossier, reference letters and/or interviews.
- Evidence and further potential in the ability to communicate clearly and evidence for growth in the communication of complex ideas.
- Evidence and further potential in the ability to produce effective learning support materials in the form of course development, state-of-the-art delivery systems, curricula development, and/or teaching scholarship.
- Evidence and further potential of effectiveness in the advisement of students and student organizations relevant to the candidate's position.
- Evidence of the use of teaching materials demonstrating current and appropriate scholarship.
- Evidence of other materials described in the "Evidence of Teaching Mastery" section of the Department's P&T guidelines.

Assistant Research Professor

- Evidence and further potential of quality in research and/or creative endeavor and potential for continued development in
- research and/or creative endeavor, as described in "Research/creative Scholarly Activities" section in the Department's P&T guidelines.
- Evidence and further potential to relate scholarship to other areas.
- Evidence and further potential to contribute to the productivity of other faculty.
- Evidence and further potential of an ability to produce publishable or peer-reviewed work, as described in

- “Research/creative Scholarly Activities” section in the Department’s P&T guidelines.
- Evidence and further potential to secure extramural funding or other resources to support an independent research and/or creative endeavor program.

Assistant Extension Professor

- A potential for growth and excellence in extension activities based on the candidate's resume, dossier, reference letters and/or interviews.
- The ability to communicate clearly and the potential for growth in the communication of complex ideas.
- The potential to produce effective learning support materials in the form of outreach program development, state-of-the-art delivery systems, materials development and/or Extension education scholarship.
- Evidence of potential effective work with clientele groups, including the potential to understand, evaluate and contribute to the solution of the problems of clients.
- Evidence of the ability to collaborate with others in the achievement of results. Evidence of use of outreach educational programs demonstrating current and appropriate research.

Main Attributes:

Associate Teaching Professor

- Demonstrated quality and effectiveness of teaching based on the materials described in “Evidence of Teaching Mastery” in the Department’s P&T guidelines.
- Demonstrated production of effective learning support materials in the form of course development, improved teaching techniques, state-of-the-art delivery systems, curricula development, teaching scholarship, workbooks, guides or textbooks, and/or other products.
- Demonstrated creativity in the form of the development or application of new teaching techniques, delivery systems and learning approaches to current subject matter.
- Demonstrated pursuit of excellence in the improvement and development of teaching competence.
- Demonstrated use of teaching materials incorporating current and appropriate research/creative endeavor.
- Evidence of collaboration and cooperation with professional colleagues.
- A record of advisement of students and student organizations appropriate to the Department, position, and standing.

Associate Research Professor

- Evidence of state and regional recognition as a leader in the profession.
- Evidence of excellence and promise of continued growth in research and/or creative endeavor as described in “Research/creative Scholarly Activities” section in the Department’s P&T guidelines.
- Evidence of creative and significant contributions to the profession.
- Demonstrated ability to produce published works, as described in “Research/creative Scholarly Activities” section in the Department’s P&T guidelines.
- Demonstrated ability to secure extramural funding or other resources to support an independent research and/or creative endeavor program.
- Evidence of contribution to the research and/or creative endeavor of colleagues.

Associate Extension Professor

- Evidence of state and regional recognition as a leader in the profession.
- Demonstrated capacity for organizing, presenting and implementing high quality outreach educational programs.
- Demonstrated effectiveness of outreach programs based on the assessment of participants and evaluators.

- Demonstrated production of effective outreach support materials in the form of material development, improved instructional techniques, state-of-the-art delivery systems, workbooks and guides, applied research demonstrations, reports and publications on applied research/demonstrations, or other products.
- Demonstrated creativity in the form of the development or application of new teaching techniques, delivery systems, learning approaches, and programs relevant to the needs of the discipline.
- Documented use of outreach educational programs demonstrating current and appropriate research.
- Demonstrated cooperation with colleagues in program development and implementation that contribute to the solution of clientele problems.
- Demonstrated ability to secure extramural funding or other resources to support extension programming or research.

Main Attributes:

Teaching Professor

- National recognition as an expert in field of specialization.
- Active involvement in national/international and professional/scientific societies.
- A record of ancillary activities showing disciplinary recognition of stature, including service on professional committees or panels, participation in program or application reviews, consultation with regional or national organizations, or other appropriate activities.
- Developed linkages with international scientists and institutions, as well as undertaken international experience, as is appropriate to position and field.
- A record of excellence in contributions to service. This service may be in the form of activities in areas outside of the candidate's position emphases (e.g., teaching by candidates in research positions or research by candidates in Extension positions).
- A record of sustained service in response to requests to serve on departmental, college, and/or university committees and professional organizations.
- A record of excellence in providing information to the public as appropriate to position.
- A record of excellence in cooperation with agencies and constituencies meeting public needs.
- Record of sustained recognition by students and peers as a stimulating, inspiring, and effective teacher.
- Demonstrated sustained use of current and appropriate scholarship in the field.
- Produced a body of work demonstrating excellence in the production of effective learning support materials in the form of course development, improved teaching techniques, state-of-the-art delivery systems, curricula development, scholarship, workbooks, guides or textbooks, and/or other products.
- A record of effective and sustained advisement of students and student organizations, as appropriate to the Department, position and standing.
- A record of initiative and involvement in curriculum improvement.
- A record of having applied innovative approaches to educational experiences. □ A record of other activities described in the "Evidence of Teaching Mastery" section of the Department's P&T guidelines.

Research Professor

- Conducted research and/or creative endeavor and produced products recognized as significant by nationally and internationally-known experts in the specialty area of the candidate.
- Sustained production of research/creative scholarly activities, as described in "Research/creative Scholarly Activities" section in the Department's P&T guidelines.
- Where appropriate, ability to secure extramural funding or other resources to support an independent research and/or creative endeavor program.
- Where appropriate, ability to work on collaborative research/ creative endeavor projects with colleagues.

Extension Professor

- Sustained excellence in the organization and participation in a variety of outreach or continuing education programs contributing to the success of the extension program.
- Sustained effectiveness of outreach programs based on the assessment of participants and evaluators.
- Produced a body of effective outreach support materials in the form of material development, improved instructional techniques, state-of-the-art delivery systems, workbooks and guides, applied research demonstrations, reports and publications on applied research/demonstrations, and/or other products.
- Sustained creativity in the form of the development or application of new teaching techniques, delivery systems, learning approaches, and programs relevant to the needs of the discipline.
- Sustained use of outreach educational programs demonstrating current and appropriate research.
- Assumed leadership role in cooperative projects with colleagues that contribute to the solution of clientele problems.

Part II - Promotion of Non-Tenure Track Faculty

The decision to apply for promotion by Non-Tenure Track faculty members is an elective one. The candidate must review and consider the College NTT Promotion Guidelines to make a determination for promotion application (<https://missouri.app.box.com/s/1acjxaxc7xxm3imaadada0vz2ovjfsek>).

There are no minimum or maximum limits on the number of times that an appointee may enter into the promotion review process. It is expected, however, that only candidates with at least reasonable chances for promotion and the approval of the Department will ask for review. In many cases, the pre-promotion review will be a significant indicator of an applicant's progress toward promotion.

A recommendation to accept or deny the promotion application of a Non-Tenure Track academic appointment carries no automatic rewards (apart from change in title) or penalties.

Promotion: General Considerations

The Non-Tenure Track academic appointee will anticipate and prepare for advancement to the levels of Non-Tenure Track ranked positions at the University of Missouri. To this end, the Department of Textile and Apparel Management faculty and administration expect appointees to compile evidence of their activities, productivity, creativity, and professional development. The review for promotion is one of the mechanisms for demonstrating these achievements. The review is completed by peers from the same or higher rank for which the candidate is being considered.

It is critical that Non-Tenure Track faculty members provide comprehensive documentation of their position, including letter of appointment and initial position description, communications detailing changes in position responsibilities, and any other statements regarding expected performance. The duty assignments for each appointee are agreed to by the Department Chairperson at the time of hiring, documented in writing, and reviewed annually. Redefinitions of these assignments will normally be documented in the annual evaluations.

Evaluation of the candidate's application for promotion is normally focused on one of the areas of appointment—teaching or research/creative activity, as well as related service and professional activities. In cases where Non-Tenure Track candidates have an official split appointment, evaluation will be apportioned to areas as relevant to the candidate's appointment. A faculty member to be considered for promotion in a Non-Tenure Track academic position should have demonstrated professional excellence in the specific area(s) of assigned responsibility. Accomplishments in service and professional activities related to one's position and job description, while insufficient in themselves for promotion, are necessary adjuncts to the work of Non-Tenure Track academic

appointments. In promotion considerations, the total contribution of the faculty member to the mission of the Department over a sustained period of time is to be taken into consideration.

Professional development in the form of a renewal experience is recommended prior to promotion to the level of full professor in a Non-Tenure Track position. Appropriate international experience is recommended as a possible component of that renewal process. The development of specific criteria and guidelines by which to determine standards of excellence for promotion purposes is the responsibility of the faculty of the department that includes the faculty member's discipline or area of expertise. Excellence in evaluation areas should be consistent with the established academic standards for each discipline. Promotions to each rank shall be guided by the criteria/attributes of specific faculty ranks as detailed in Part I. The following concerns are highlighted as areas to which departments should give special attention as they review, revise and develop their specific criteria of excellence for Non-Tenure Track academic faculty promotion considerations.

Part III - Promotion Process

The Department of Textile and Apparel Managements' Promotion and Tenure Committee of tenured faculty and NTT faculty at the rank being sought or higher will be the review committee examining requests for promotion under these guidelines. An individual seeking promotion must notify the Department's Chairperson of her/his intent to seek promotion not less than six months (usually by early February) before presenting her/his materials. The Department Chairperson will, in turn, notify the Promotion and Tenure Committee Chairperson. The Department's Promotion and Tenure Committee will be augmented for the purposes of reviewing applications for promotion by persons holding the Non-Tenure Track Academic rank for which the applicant is seeking or higher. Such persons can be both internal and external to the Department of Textile and Apparel Management.

The promotion and Tenure Committee's findings will be communicated to the applicant and the Department Chairperson and will include a record of the vote taken. This information will be forwarded to the appropriate college and/or campus committee or office for further review.

The procedure for promotion begins with the assignment of responsibility at the time of the initial appointment. Faculty members should begin building a dossier from the start of employment. As discussed in Part II, it is critical that candidates maintain a record of all official letters, annual reviews, and other documents relevant to their position and responsibilities.

Optional Pre-promotion Review

A pre-promotion review is available to all Non-Tenure Track faculty seeking promotion to either Associate Professor or Professor status. This review is recommended, though not required, for Non-Tenure Track appointees seeking promotion to the level of Associate Professor or for candidates for the position of Professor. It is an especially useful mechanism for candidates seeking promotion from the Assistant to Associate level. There is no required timing for the pre-promotion review; it is suggested that such reviews are most useful one to three years prior to the intended year of promotion application.

The review is intended to serve as an indicator of a candidate's progress toward promotion and to identify potential areas for additional attention on the part of the applicant. A successful pre-promotion review does not guarantee approval in a formal promotion review. An applicant's dossier for pre-promotion review will be prepared using the same guidelines as those for promotion, except that no special outside evaluations or reviews will be initiated solely for the purpose of a pre-promotion review.

Candidates will be kept informed of the status of their candidacy during each step of the promotion process. In case of a negative recommendation, the candidate has the right to a hearing before the body/authority that made the negative judgment. In cases of a continued negative judgment, the candidate has the right to appeal to the next higher authority or body in the promotion process as specified by university policy.

The pre-promotional process at the Department level:

- Non-Tenure Track faculty submit dossier to department promotion and tenure committee for evaluation of the candidate's progress toward reaching the intended promotion level.
- The department promotion and tenure committee write a letter to the candidate and the Department Chair evaluating the candidate's potential for obtaining promotion.
- The Department Chair reviews pre-promotion dossiers and writes a letter to the candidate evaluating the candidate's potential for obtaining promotion.
- Pre-promotion review letters are intended as diagnostic feedback to the Non-Tenure Track appointee and do not automatically become part of the faculty member's dossier.

Peer Reviews

- Peer evaluations of the candidate's performance are essential components of the promotion process and a critical part of the dossier.

All appointments

High value is placed on reliable and objective assessments of the quality of the candidate's performance in his/her assigned area(s). In undertaking peer and external evaluations, keep in mind the following:

- Avoid the selection of former mentors or classmates as evaluators. The candidate and Chair should select referees of reliable objectivity.
- The Department Chairperson or the Chair of the Department's Promotion and Tenure committee should initiate requests for letters from evaluators. A copy of request letters will be placed in the candidate's dossier.
- Evaluators should be encouraged to restrict themselves to concise statements of the significance and quality of the candidate's contributions. These considerations have proven to be particularly useful to those evaluating the dossier and, ultimately, the candidate.
- Personal familiarity of referees with candidates and their work is necessary when, for example, detailed descriptions of teaching innovations and techniques are at issue.
- The College of Arts and Science does not provide access to external peer review letters.

Review for Promotion to Associate Professor or Professor

Department Review for Promotion. The departmental promotion and tenure committee evaluates the dossier, votes on the candidate's worthiness, and prepares a recommendation in writing to become part of the promotion file. Part IV of this document provides suggestions for how to compile a dossier. For research appointments and, when appropriate, for teaching and Extension appointments, the departmental promotion and tenure committee may request supporting letters from peer reviewers. Peer and external evaluations of the candidate's performance can be essential components of the promotion process and a critical part of the dossier. The Department Chair prepares a letter summarizing items required by the guidelines and a recommendation concerning promotion. The letter becomes part of the promotion file. The Department Chair informs the candidate of the recommendation in writing.

Departmental Review is Submitted to the Dean's Office. The complete dossier and recommendations from the department/unit committee and the Chair are forwarded to the Dean's office.

College Promotion Review. The College NTT committee reviews the dossier following the NTT Faculty Guidelines (<https://missouri.app.box.com/s/1acjxaxc7xxm3imaadada0vz2ovjfsek>)

College Promotion Committee Review is Submitted to the Dean. The college committee makes its promotion recommendations in writing to the Dean and informs the candidate of its recommendations.

Review by the Dean of HES. The Dean reviews the promotion files and makes a decision communicated in a letter to the candidate and department. The Dean reviews the promotion files and makes a decision communicated in a

letter to the Provost with copy to the candidate. The Dean will submit the Dean's recommendation with the candidate's promotion files to the Provost. Final decision regarding promotion rests with the Provost. Candidates shall be kept informed of the status of their candidacy during each step of the promotion process. In cases of a negative recommendation, the candidate has the right to a hearing before the body/authority that made the negative judgment. In cases of a continued negative judgment, the candidate has the right to appeal to the next higher authority or body in the promotion process.

Teaching Appointments

It is imperative to document teaching performance and to provide evaluations (self, student, and peer) of teaching effectiveness. Procedures used to evaluate the impact of learning are also encouraged, including results from surveys which measure the impact and hence the outcome of the teaching efforts of the candidate. Other evidence might include awards, exceptional recognition from students, and evidence of students' success.

For promotion considerations, the dossier of applicants for the title of Associate Teaching Professor must include formal peer evaluations conducted by qualified individuals from the Department of Textile and Apparel Management. Applicants for the title of Teaching Professor must include five peer evaluations by qualified individuals. Because effective peer evaluations require a long period of time for planning, implementation, and completion, it is required that the Department Chairperson initiate this process one year before the planned date for submitting the promotion application.

Peer evaluation processes will follow the TAM Department Annual Peer Review Guidelines.

The goal of peer evaluations is to obtain qualified and comprehensive evaluations of the candidate's teaching. Peer evaluations should represent an independent and objective assessment of the candidate's accomplishments relative to teaching promotion criteria. Evaluations should be solicited from individuals who can assess the candidate's completed and active courses, materials, strategies, and related activities in an impartial, informed, and objective way. Evaluators should not represent any "conflict of interest" (e.g., former advisor, close friend) with the candidate. Letters soliciting evaluation must ask for an in-depth analysis of the candidate's performance.

Research Appointments

External letters of evaluation of a candidate's research and/or creative endeavor are critical components of the dossier for applicants for promotion to either Associate Research Professor or Research Professor. By June 15 (and preferably earlier) of the academic year in which a candidate will submit a promotion application, the Department Chairperson will begin to solicit external evaluations on behalf of the candidate. External reviewers are chosen in consultation with the candidate and, often, the Department's Promotion and Tenure Committee.

The goal of external evaluations is to obtain qualified and comprehensive evaluations of the candidate's Research/creative endeavor programs and productivity. Letters will be solicited from referees that can comment in an impartial and objective way on the nominee's completed and current research/creative endeavor, scholarly performance, and professional stature. Each external letter should represent an independent and objective assessment of the candidate's accomplishments relative to promotion criteria. External referees should preferably be at Carnegie Research I institutions, peer land grant institutions, government agencies, or organizations of national or international stature. These referees should be nationally recognized for their work. They should not represent any "conflict of interest" (e.g., former advisor, close friend) with the candidate and, in most cases; they should hold the rank of Professor (or its equivalent).

Letters soliciting outside evaluation must be impartial in their requests and ask for an in-depth analysis of the candidate's performance and stature. The qualifications of the referees must be provided in the dossiers. This is necessary so that committees considering the candidate will have a basis from which to judge statements made by designated referees on behalf of the candidate.

Extension Appointments

It is important to document extension activities and to provide evaluations (self, clientele, and peer) of effectiveness. Procedures used to evaluate the impact of learning are encouraged, including results from surveys which measure the impact, and hence the outcome, of the extension efforts of the candidate. Other evidence might include awards, exceptional recognition from clientele, evidence of clientele success, or documentation of changes in behavior resulting from educational efforts of the candidate. For promotion considerations, the dossier of applicants for the title of Associate Extension Professor must include formal peer evaluations conducted by qualified Extension faculty or specialists working in Missouri. These individuals may be employed on or off campus. Applicants for the title of Professor must include peer evaluations by qualified individuals from outside the University of Missouri System. Ideally, the reviewer(s) for Extension Professor positions should be nationally recognized for efforts in extension education. Because effective evaluations require a long period of time for planning, implementation, and completion, it is suggested that the candidate's department initiate this process one year before the planned date for submitting the promotion application.

At a minimum, the evaluation should address the issues of technical quality of extension education material(s) produced by the candidate as well as strategies for delivery of those materials. While many of the same procedures used to document effective teaching performance may be applicable as well to the successful extension educator, the objective of most extension programming will ultimately be a change in behavior by some clientele group. Therefore, evaluations should include assessment of the adequacy of such material to realistically result in behavior change and/or improvement of the intended clientele. When the candidate has served as a member of a team extension effort, it is also helpful for the evaluation to address the significance of the candidate's contributions to that team's accomplishments.

The goal of peer evaluations is to obtain qualified and comprehensive evaluations of the candidate's extension work. Peer evaluations should represent an independent and objective assessment of the candidate's accomplishments relative to Extension promotion criteria. Evaluations should be solicited from individuals that can assess the candidate's completed and active Extension activities, materials, and products in an impartial, informed, and objective way. Evaluators should not represent any "conflict of interest" (e.g., former advisor, close friend) with the candidate. Please be careful that letters soliciting external evaluation be impartial in their requests and ask for an in-depth analysis of the candidate's performance. The qualifications of the evaluators must be provided in the dossiers so that committees considering the candidate will have a basis from which to judge statements made in the evaluations.

Part IV - The Promotion Dossier of Non-Tenure Track Faculty

Dossier Documentation

Clarity in the presentation of the dossier is critical to the successful completion of the promotion process because the written documentation represents the candidate in the review process at the Department and College levels.

The uniqueness of each candidate is important and, therefore, each candidate's dossier will differ. The dossier will comprehensively review the candidate's activities and accomplishments. It will contain evaluations of an individual's performance in the appropriate area of emphasis as well as professional and service activities relevant to the individual's assignment.

There are important features that candidates should represent in their dossier. The discussion below is intended to help guide the NTT applicant for promotion by emphasizing those materials that the candidates themselves will accumulate and present.

Assembling the Dossier

The dossier is assembled by the candidate following the A&S NTT Guidelines (<https://missouri.app.box.com/s/1acjxaxc7xxm3imaadada0vz2ovjfsek>)

General Points for All Dossiers

- Dossiers will generally not exceed 25 pages. Letters of reference and qualifications of referees, applicant vita or resume, and all appendix materials are not included in this total.
- Dossiers need to show evidence that the work being evaluated represents several years of effective and sustained achievement in the candidate's assigned area of responsibility.
- Verification of the professional standing and qualifications of the individuals providing letters of recommendation must be provided. The appendix of the dossier is an appropriate place for such information.
- Innovation and creativity in teaching, advising, national and/or international experiences and service are highly regarded attributes that distinguish the active, imaginative faculty member from others.
- Innovative and creative efforts will be documented and described in the appropriate categories within the applicant's dossier.
- Updating of information in the dossier will continue as it moves through the review process.

Approved at the Department of Textile and Apparel Management Faculty Meeting October 21, 2019. Approved by the Dean of the A&S and the TAM faculty on April 26, 2021